The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem for 2 x 2 Matrices

Characteristic polynomial and the Cayley-Hamilton theorem

Suppose that a 2×2 matrix **A** has characteristic equation

$$a\lambda^2 + b\lambda + c = 0$$

To say that λ_1 and λ_2 are eigenvalues of **A** means that they are solutions to this equation, Hence

$$a\lambda_1^2 + b\lambda_1 + c = 0 \qquad a\lambda_2^2 + b\lambda_2 + c = 0$$

Let $\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$ then
$$a\mathbf{D}^2 + b\mathbf{D} + c\mathbf{I} = a \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}^2 + b \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} + c \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} a\lambda_1^2 + b\lambda_1 + c \\ a\lambda_2^2 + b\lambda_2 + c \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now suppose that $A = XDX^{-1}$ then

$$a\mathbf{A}^{2} + b\mathbf{A} + c\mathbf{I} = a(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1})^{2} + b(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1}) + c\mathbf{I}$$
$$= a(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1})(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1}) + b(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1}) + c\mathbf{I}$$
$$= a(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1}) + b(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1}) + c\mathbf{I}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1}$$
$$= a(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}^{2}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1}) + b(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1}) + c\mathbf{X}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1}$$
$$= \mathbf{X}(a\mathbf{D}^{2}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1} + b\mathbf{D}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1} + c\mathbf{I}\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1})$$
$$= \mathbf{X}((a\mathbf{D}^{2} + b\mathbf{D} + c\mathbf{I})\mathbf{X}^{\cdot 1})$$

In this argument we have made use of the fact that $I = XX^{-1}$ and that ID = DI for any matrix, **D**.



Hence

 $a\mathbf{A}^{2} + b\mathbf{A} + c\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{X}^{-1}(a\mathbf{D}^{2} + b\mathbf{D} + c\mathbf{I})\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}$

So a 2×2 matrix satisfies its own characteristic equation, which is the Cayley-Hamilton theorem.

Cayley-Hamilton theorem

Every matrix *A* is a root of its own characteristic polynomial.

<u>Remark</u>

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem can be established for square matrices of any dimension. This theorem is used as a computational device for finding inverses of matrices and higher powers.

Example

You are given the matrix $\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$

(a) Show that 0 and 1 are eigenvalues of **M**, and find the other eigenvalue.

(b) Using the Cayley Hamilton theorem, or otherwise

- (i) Show that $M^4 = 11M^2 6M$ and verify that the matrix M satisfies its own characteristic equation
- (iii) Explain why it is not possible to obtain a value for M^{-1} by cancelling down and rearranging the characteristic equation

Solution

(a) The characteristic equation is

$$\begin{vmatrix} 3-\lambda & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -2-\lambda & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

If $\lambda_1 = 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{vmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 3\begin{vmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{vmatrix} + 2\begin{vmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + 2\begin{vmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 3(-4+4) + 2(2+4) + 2(-4+2) = 0$$

If $\lambda_2 = 2$ we obtain

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -4 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} = 1 \begin{vmatrix} -4 & -2 \\ 2 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \begin{vmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \begin{vmatrix} -2 & -4 \\ 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 4 - 4 + 0 = 0$$

If $\lambda_1 = 0$ or $\lambda_2 = 2$, we observe that the above equation holds.

Therefore, $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = 2$ are eigenvalues.

The characteristic equation yields



$$\begin{vmatrix} 3-\lambda & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -2-\lambda & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2-\lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$(3-\lambda) \begin{vmatrix} -2-\lambda & -2 \\ 2 & 2-\lambda \end{vmatrix} + 2 \begin{vmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ 2-\lambda & 1 \end{vmatrix} + 2 \begin{vmatrix} -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

$$(3-\lambda)((-2-\lambda)(2-\lambda) + 4) + 2(-2+2(2-\lambda)) + 2(-4-(-2-\lambda)) = 0$$

$$-(3-\lambda)(2+\lambda)(2-\lambda) + 4(3-\lambda) - 4 + 4(2-\lambda) - 8 + 2(2+\lambda) = 0$$

$$-(6+\lambda-\lambda^{2})(2-\lambda) + 12 - 4\lambda - 4 + 8 - 4\lambda - 8 + 4 + 2\lambda = 0$$

$$-(12-4\lambda-3\lambda^{2}+\lambda^{3}) + 12 - 6\lambda = 0$$

$$-2\lambda + 3\lambda^{2} - \lambda^{3} = 0$$

$$\lambda^{3} - 3\lambda^{2} + 2\lambda = 0$$

$$\lambda(\lambda^{2} - 3\lambda + 2) = 0$$

So the three eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_{1} = 0 \quad \lambda_{2} = 1 \quad \lambda_{3} = 2$$

The characteristic equation is $\lambda^{3} - 3\lambda^{2} + 2\lambda = 0$
Therefore, from Cayley-Hamilton theorem, we have

$$M^{3} - 3M^{2} + 2M = 0$$

Hence

$$M^{4} - 3M^{3} + 2M^{2} = 0$$

$$M^{4} = 3M^{3} + 2M^{2}$$

$$= 3(3M^{2} - 2M) + 2M^{2}$$

$$= 11M^{2} - 6M$$

The characteristic equation is $\lambda^{3} - 3\lambda^{2} + 2\lambda = 0$
and we have $M^{3} - 3M^{2} + 2M = 0$
To verify this equation we compute

$$M^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 6 & 6 \\ -4 & -4 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$M^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & 6 & 6 \\ -4 & -4 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 15 & 14 & 14 \\ -8 & -8 & -8 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence



(b)

(i)

(ii)

 $\mathbf{M}^{3} - 3\mathbf{M}^{2} + 2\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 15 & 14 & 14 \\ -8 & -8 & -8 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} - 3\begin{pmatrix} 7 & 6 & 6 \\ -4 & -4 & -4 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} + 2\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$

So we have verified the equation.

(iii)

To obtain an inverse we would like to argue as follows

 $\mathbf{M}\left(\mathbf{M}^2 - 3\mathbf{M}^2 + 2\mathbf{I}\right) = \mathbf{0} \tag{1}$

$$\mathbf{M}^2 - 3\mathbf{M} + 2\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0} \tag{2}$$

 $\mathbf{M}^2 = 3\mathbf{M} - 2\mathbf{I}$

and so forth

This is not valid!

The cancellation law from step (1) to step (2) does not apply to matrices. You can have two non-zero matrices that multiply together to give the zero matrix, as precisely in this case! In the line $M(M^2 - 3M^2 + 2I) = 0$ it does not follow that since $M \neq 0$ that $M^2 - 3M + 2I = 0$ and in fact, in this case $M^2 - 3M + 2I \neq 0$ Thus we cannot obtain a rearrangement of the equation in terms of the identity matrix I; hence we cannot multiply through by M^{-1} to obtain and expression for M^{-1} - the inverse of M

blacksacademy.net is hiring Math tutors

blacksacademy.net/hiringtutors.php

ALL LEVELS CATERED FOR Pay starting at £15 per hour All preparation is done for you Your English must be excellent Math background required



